# On $\pi$ -nilpotency of Finite Groups

#### Rongge Yu<sup>\*</sup>, Ruixia Jiang

School of Mathematics and Statistics, Cangzhou Normal University, Cangzhou, China.

\* Corresponding author. Tel.: 13383062153; email: yurongge999@163.com. Manuscript submitted January 10, 2016; accepted June 12, 2016. doi: 10.17706/ijapm.2016.6.3.194-199

**Abstract:** A group G is called  $\pi$ -nilpotent,  $\pi$  a set of primes, if G has a normal  $\pi$ '-subgroup N with G/N a nilpotent  $\pi$ -group. Let H be a nilpotent  $\pi$ -Hall subgroup of G,  $1 < Z_1(H) < Z_2(H) < \cdots < Z_n(H) = H$  be the upper central series of H. If every  $Z_i(H)$  is weakly closed in H (about G). Then we say that the upper central series of H is weakly closed in H (about G). Let H be a subgroup of a finite group G. We call H weakly s-normal in G if there exists a Sylow p-subgroup  $S_p$  which is permutable with H for every prime  $p \mid |G|$ . In this paper, with the conception above, several determine theorems for G to be a  $\pi$ -nilpotent group are given and some properties about  $\pi$ -nilpotent groups are considered. Several results about nilpotent groups are generalized.

**Key words:** л—nilpotent groups, minimal normal subgroups, л-normal groups, weakly s-normal subgroups.

#### 1. Introduction

All groups considered in this paper will be finite. We use conventional notions and notations, as in [1]. Let  $\pi$  be any set of primes and  $\pi'$  the complementary set of primes. We denote M < G to indicate that M is a maximal subgroup of G. Also,  $|G:M|_{-}$  denotes the  $\pi$ -part of |G:M|.

**Definition 1.1** A group G is called  $\pi$ -nilpotent,  $\pi$  a set of primes, if G has a normal  $\pi$ '-subgroup N with G/N a nilpotent  $\pi$ -group.

It is very easy to prove that every subgroup and every image of a  $\pi$ -nilpotent group are likewise  $\pi$ -nilpotent.

**Definition 1.2** Let H be a subgroup of a finite group G. We call H weakly s-normal in G if there exists a Sylow p-subgroup  $S_p$  which is permutable with H for every prime  $p \mid |G|$ .

**Definition 1.3** Let G be a finite group, H be a π-Hall subgroup of G. We call G π-normal if  $Z(H)^g \le H \Longrightarrow$  $Z(H)^g = Z(H)$ , for every g  $\in$  G.

**Definition 1.4** Let H be a nilpotent  $\pi$ -Hall subgroup of G,  $1 < Z_1$  (H)  $< Z_2$  (H)  $< --- < Z_n$  (H)=H be the upper central series of H. If every  $Z_i$  (H) is weakly closed in H (about G). Then we say that the upper central series of H is weakly closed in H (about G).

**Definition 1.5**  $\Phi_{\pi}$  (G)=  $\cap$  {M|M  $\leq$  G with [G: M]<sup> $\pi$ </sup> =1}.

## 2. Preliminarie

We will give some lemmas that are useful to the proofs of the theorems.

**Lemma 2.1** Let H be a nilpotent *π*-Hall subgroup of G,  $N \leq G$ . Then  $N_{G/N}$  (HN/N)=  $N_G$  (H)N/N.

**Proof** (1)  $N_{G/N}$  (HN/N)=  $N_G$  (HN)/N

Since  $HN \leq N_G$  (HN). Hence  $HN/N \leq N_G$  (HN)/N. Therefore (HN)/N  $\leq N_{G/N}$  (HN/N). Let  $N_{G/N}$  (HN/N)=M/N. Then  $HN/N \leq N_{G/N}$  (HN/N)=M/N. Hence  $HN \leq M$ . It implies that  $M \leq N_G$  (HN). Hence  $M/N \leq N_G$  (HN)/N. Therefore  $N_{G/N}$  (HN/N)=  $N_G$  (HN)/N.

(2)  $N_G$  (HN)=  $N_G$  (H)N

Since  $N \leq G$ . Hence  $N_G$  (H)  $\leq N_G$  (HN). Again  $N \leq N_G$  (HN). Therefore  $N_G$  (H) $N \leq N_G$  (HN). Conversely, picking arbitrarily an element x in  $N_G$  (HN). Then  $H^x N \leq$  HN. It implies that  $H^x \leq$  HN. Now both  $H^x$  and H are  $\pi$ -Hall subgroups of HN. Again, H is a nilpotent  $\pi$ -Hall subgroup of HN. By [2, Theorem 9.1.10], there exist an element hn in HN, where  $h \in$  H and  $n \in N$ , such that  $H^x = H^{hn} = H^n$ , It implies that  $H = H^{xn^{-1}}$ . Hence  $x n^{-1} \in N_G$  (H). It implies  $x \in N_G$  (H)N. Therefore  $N_G$  (HN) $\leq N_G$  (H)N. Since  $N_G$  (H)N. Hence  $N_G$  (HN)=  $N_G$  (H)N.

By (1) and (2), we have that  $N_{G/N}$  (HN/N) =  $N_G$  (H)N/N.

**Lemma 2.2** Let G be a finite group. Then G is *π*—nilpotent if and only if G/Z(G) is *π*-nilpotent.

**Proof** By introduction, we need only prove the "if" part. Let G/Z(G) be  $\pi$ -nilpotent. It implies that G is  $\pi$ -solvable. Hence there are  $\pi'$ -Hall subgroups in G. Let N be a  $\pi'$ -Hall subgroup of G. Then NZ(G)/Z(G) is a  $\pi'$ -Hall subgroup of G/Z(G). Hence NZ(G)/Z(G)  $\trianglelefteq$  G/Z(G). It yields that NZ(G)  $\trianglelefteq$  G. Obviously N  $\trianglelefteq$  NZ(G). Since N is a  $\pi'$ -Hall subgroup of NZ(G). Hence N char NZ(G)  $\trianglelefteq$  G. It yields that N  $\bowtie$  G. Thus G has normal  $\pi$ -complements. Let H be a  $\pi$ -Hall subgroup of G. Then HZ(G)/Z(G) is a  $\pi$ -Hall subgroup of G/Z(G). By assumption, HZ(G)/Z(G)  $\cong$  H/H  $\cap$  Z(G) is nilpotent. Again H  $\cap$  Z(G)  $\le$  Z(H). Hence H/Z(H) is nilpotent. By [2], we get that H is nilpotent. Therefore G is  $\pi$ —nilpotent.

**Lemma 2.3** Let H be a nilpotent *π*-Hall subgroup of G. Then G is *π*—nilpotent if and only if G is *π*-normal and  $N_G$  (Z(H)) is *π*—nilpotent.

**Proof** By [3, Th 3], we need only prove the "if" part. Let  $H_1$  be a subgroup of H with  $Z(H) \le H_1$ . We consider  $N_G(H_1)$ .  $\forall x \in N_G(H_1)$ . Since  $[Z(H)]^x \le H_1 \le H$  and G is  $\pi$ -normal. We have that  $[Z(H)]^x = Z(H)$ . Hence  $Z(H) \le N_G(H_1)$  It implies that  $N_G(H_1) \le N_G$  (Z(H)). Since  $N_G$  (Z(H)) is  $\pi$ -nilpotent. Hence  $N_G(H_1)$  is also  $\pi$ -nilpotent. By [4, Th1], we get that  $N_G(H_1) / C_G(H_1)$  is a  $\pi$ -group. Again, By [4, Th2], we have that G is  $\pi$ -nilpotent.

**Lemma 2.4** Let N be a  $\pi'$ -nilpotent normal Hall-subgroup of G and let  $N \cap \Phi_{\pi}$  (G) be a nilpotent subgroup of G. Then  $\Phi_{\pi}$  (N)=N  $\cap \Phi_{\pi}$  (G).

Proof The same argument as that of corresponding theorem in [5].

**Lemma 2.5** Let G be a soluble group and let  $M \triangleleft N \triangleleft G$ . Suppose that N is a  $\pi'$ -nilpotent Hall-subgroup of G and  $N \cap \Phi_{\pi}$  (G) is a  $\pi$ -nilpotent group. We have that if  $N/M(N \cap \Phi_{\pi}$  (G)) is  $\pi_1$ -closed, then N/M is  $\pi_1$ -closed, where  $\pi_1$  is a set of some primes with  $\pi \subseteq \pi_1$ .

**Proof** Let L= M(N  $\cap \Phi_{\pi}$  (G)) and let H/L be Hall  $\pi_1$ -subgroup of N/L. Since N/L is  $\pi_1$ -closed. We have that H/L  $\triangleleft$  N/L. Since N  $\cap \Phi_{\pi}$  (G) is a nilpotent. We have that L/M  $\cong \frac{N \cap \Phi_{\pi}(G)}{M \cap \Phi_{\pi}(G)}$  is a nilpotent group. Hence there exists normal Hall  $\pi'_1$ -subgroup K/M in L/M. It follows that  $\frac{L}{M}_{K/M} \cong K/L$  is a  $\pi_1$ -group. Since K/M char L/M  $\triangleleft$  H/M. We have that K/M  $\triangleleft$  H/M. Since [H/M : K/M]=[H : K]=[H : L][L : K]. Again [H : L] and [L : K]. are  $\pi_1$ -numbers. Therefore [H/M : K/M] is a  $\pi_1$ -number. Since K/M is a  $\pi_1'$ -group. It follows that K/M is a Hall  $\pi'_1$ -subgroup of H/M. By Schur throrem, we get that H/M has  $\pi'_1$ -complement A/M. That is H/M=(K/M)(A/M), with K  $\cap$  A=M. By the generalized Frattin argument, we have that N/M=( $N_{N/M}$  (A/M))(H/M)=(N  $^N$  (A)H/M. It follows that N=N  $^N$  (A)AK= N  $^N$  (A)K= N  $^N$  (A)L= N  $^N$  (A)M(N  $\cap \Phi_{\pi}$  (G))= N  $^N$  (A)  $\Phi_{\pi}$  (N). We can prove that A/M is a Hall  $\pi_1$ -subgroup of N/M. In fact, [N/M : A/M]=[N : A]=[N : H][H : A]=[N/L : H/L][H/M : A/M] is a  $\pi'$ -number. Hence [N : N  $^N$  (A)] $\pi = 1$ . By [6, Theorem3.1], we get that N = N  $^N$  (A). It implies that A  $\triangleleft$  N. Hence A/M is a normal Hall  $\pi_1$ -subgroup of N/M. That is to say that N/M is  $\pi_1$ -closed.

## 3. Main Results

**Theorem 3.1** Let  $|G| = p^{\alpha}q^{\beta}$ , P  $\in$  syl<sup>*p*</sup> G. Then G is p-nilpotent if and only if

a)  $p^{\alpha}q^{\beta}$  is a p-subgroup.

b) Every maximal subgroup of P is weakly s-normal in G..

**Proof** First we prove the "only if" part. Let G be a p-nilpotent group. Then G has a normal p-complement Q. By [4, theorem1], we have that a) holds. Since  $Q \triangleleft G$ . We have that  $P_1 Q = Q P_1$  for every maximal subgroup  $P_1$  of P. That is b) holds.

Next we prove the "if" part. Assume that the hypothesis holds. Then we have

Every Sylow p-subgroup  $P^*$  of G satisfies the hypothesis a) and b)

In fact, by Sylow's theorem, there exists  $y \in G$  such that  $P^* = P^y$ , This yield that  $N_G(P^*) = [N_G(P)]^y$ , Hence  $|N_G(P^*)/C_G(P^*)| = |N_G(P)/C_G(P)|$  is a power of p. Picking arbitrarily a maximal subgroup  $P_1^*$ of  $P^*$ . Hence we have that  $(P_1^*)^{y^{-1}}$  is a maximal subgroup of P. By assumption, there exists  $Q \in Syl^q$  (G) such that  $(P_1^*) P_1^* Q = Q(P_1^*)^{y^{-1}}$ . This yield that  $P_1^* Q^y = Q^y P_1^*$ .

## 4. The Final Conclusion

We can easily prove that every quotient group of G satisfies the hypothesis. Assume N is a minimal normal subgroup in G.. By induction on |G|, we can assume that G/N has a normal p-complement H/N. If N is a q-subgroup, then H is a normal p-complement of G. Since G is solvable, next we can assume that N is a

p-subgroup . Furthermore we can assume that only p-subgroup can be the minimal normal subgroup in G. If  $\Phi(G) \neq 1$ , then  $G/\Phi(G)$  is a p-nilpotent group by induction on |G|. Therefore G is a p-nilpotent group. Next we assume that  $\Phi(G)=1$  and A is a maximal subgroup of G such that  $N \ll A$ . It yield that G=AN and  $A \cap N=1$ . Let  $P^*$  be a Sylow p-subgroup of A. This yield that  $P^*A$  is a Sylow p-subgroup of G. By (1), with no loss, we can assume that  $P=P^*A$ . Picking  $P_1$  is a maximal subgroup of P such that  $P^* \angle P_1$ . By assumption, there

exists  $Q \in Syl^q$  (G) such that  $P_1 Q=0 P_1$ . It is easy to show that  $|N: P_1 \cap N|=p$ . Let  $P_1 Q \cap N=P_1 \cap N=D$ . We have that  $D \triangleleft G$ . By the minimal characteristics of N. We have that D=1. This yield that |N|=p. This implies

that every minimal normal subgroup of G. is a cyclic group of order p. If q>p. Since  $N \in syl^p$  H and N is cyclic. We get that H has a normal p-complement L. It is easy to show that L is also a normal p-complement of G. If q<p. Picking arbitrarily a maximal subgroup M of G. If  $M \Rightarrow F(G)$ . Since F(G) is a products of all minimal normal subgroup of G. Then there exists a minimal normal subgroup  $N_1$  of G such that  $M N_1 = G$  and  $M \cap N_1 = 1$ . Since  $G/N_1 \cong M/M \cap N_1$ . We have that  $|G:M| = |N_1| = p$ . By theorem 3.3 in [7], We get that G is supersoluble. It implies that  $P \triangleleft G$ . By hypothesis a), we get that  $Q \leq C_G$  (P). Hence we have that  $Q \triangleleft G$ . Therefore G is a p-nilpotent group.

**Theorem 3.2** Suppose that G is a *π*-nilpotent group, H is a nilpotent *π*-Hall subgroup of G. Suppose further that N is normal in H. Then N is weakly closed in H (about G).

**Proof** Since G is  $\pi$ -nilpotent and H is a nilpotent  $\pi$ -Hall subgroup of G. So we can assume that G=HM, where  $M \leq G$  and  $H \cap M=1$ . Suppose that  $N^g \leq H$ , where  $g \in G$ . We prove that  $N^g = N$ . Since G=HM. So we can assume that g=hm, where  $h \in H$  and  $m \in M$ . Hence  $N^g = N^{hm} = N^m$ .  $\forall n \in N$ , we have that  $n^m = m^{-1}$  nm=n $n^{-1}m^{-1}$  nm  $\in$  NM. Hence  $n^m \in H \cap NM=N(M \cap H)=N$ . It implies that  $N^m \leq N$ . Therefore  $N^m = N$ . Thus completes the proof.

**Theorem 3.3** Let H be a nilpotent *π*-Hall subgroup of G. Then G is *π*-nilpotent if and only if the upper central series of H is weakly closed in H (about G) and  $N_G$  (H) is *π*-nilpotent.

**Proof** By Theorem 3.2, we need only prove the "if" part. By induction on |G|, we prove that G is  $\pi$ -nilpotent. We consider the following cases.

(1)  $N_G(Z(H)) = G$ 

Since  $C_G(Z(H)) \le N_G(Z(H)) = G$ . We distinguish two cases again.

Case 1 
$$C_G$$
 (Z(H))= $N_G$  (Z(H))= $G$ 

 $C_G$  (Z(H))=G implies that Z(H)≤Z(G). Let  $\overline{G}$  =G/Z(H) and  $\overline{H}$  be a  $\pi$ -Hall subgroup of  $\overline{G}$ . If Z(H)=H. Then H≤Z(G). By Schur Theorem, G is  $\pi$ -nilpotent. If Z(H)≠H. Then we can prove easily that  $Z_i(\overline{H}) = \overline{Z_{i+1}(H)}$ .  $\forall g \in G$ , if  $\overline{g}^{-1}Z_i(\overline{H})\overline{g} \leq \overline{H}$ , then  $\overline{g}^{-1}Z_{i+1}(H)g \leq \overline{H}$ . It implies that  $g^{-1}Z_{i+1}(H)g \leq H$ . Since  $Z_{i+1}(H)$  is weakly closed in H (about G). We have that  $g^{-1}Z_{i+1}(H)g = Z_{i+1}(H)$ . It follows that  $Z_i(\overline{H}) = \overline{Z_{i+1}(H)} = \overline{g}^{-1}Z_i(\overline{H})\overline{g} = \overline{g}^{-1}Z_i(\overline{H})\overline{g}$ . That is that the upper central series of  $\overline{H}$  is weakly closed in  $\overline{H}$  (about  $\overline{G}$ ). Moreover, by Lemma 2.1, we have that  $N_{\overline{G}}(\overline{H}) = \overline{N_G(H)}$ . We obtain that  $N_{\overline{G}}(\overline{H})$  is  $\pi$ -nilpotent. Now we conclude that  $\overline{G} = G/Z(H)$  is  $\pi$ -nilpotent by induction on |G|. Since Z(H) ≤Z(G). By lemma 2.2, we obtain that G is  $\pi$ -nilpotent.

## Case 2 $C_G$ (Z(H)) $\leq N_G$ (Z(H))= G

Let  $G_1 = C_G$  (Z(H)). Then  $G_1 \leq N_G$  (Z(H))= G. Since  $H \leq G_1$ . Hence the upper central series of H is weakly closed in H (about  $G_1$ ). Since  $N_{G_i}(H)$  is a subgroup of  $N_G(H)$  which is  $\pi$ -nilpotent. So  $N_{G_i}(H)$  is also  $\pi$ -nilpotent. By induction on |G|, we get that  $G_1$  is  $\pi$ -nilpotent. So we can assume that  $G_1$ =HK, where  $K \leq G_1$  and K is a normal  $\pi$ -complement of  $G_1$ . If K=1. Then  $H=G_1 \leq G$ . It follows that  $G=N_G(H)$ . By assumption, G is  $\pi$ -nilpotent. If  $K \neq 1$ . Then K char  $G_1 \leq G$ . It follows that  $K \leq G$ . Since K is a  $\pi'$ -group. Hence  $\overline{H} \cong H$ . Therefore  $Z_i(\overline{H}) = \overline{Z_i(H)}$ .  $\forall g \in G$ , if  $\overline{g}^{-1}Z_i(\overline{H})\overline{g} \leq \overline{H}$ , then  $\overline{g}^{-1}Z_i(H)\overline{g} \leq \overline{H}$ . It implies that  $g^{-1}Z_i(H)g \leq HK = G$ . By [3, Theorem 9.1.10], there exist some element k of K such that  $g^{-1}Z_i(H)g \leq H^k$ . Hence k  $g^{-1}Z_i(H)g k^{-1} \leq H$ . Since  $Z_i(H)$  is weakly closed in H (about G). Therefore k  $\overline{g}^{-1}Z_i(\overline{H})\overline{g} = \overline{g}^{-1}Z_i(H)\overline{g} = \overline{k}^{-1}Z_i(H)k = \overline{Z_i(H)} = Z_i(\overline{H})$ . It implies  $g^{-1}Z_i(H)g = k^{-1}Z_i(H)k$ . Hence we have  $\overline{g}^{-1}Z_i(\overline{H})\overline{g} = \overline{g}^{-1}Z_i(H)g = \overline{k}^{-1}Z_i(H)k = \overline{Z_i(H)} = Z_i(\overline{H})$ . It shows that the upper central series of  $\overline{H}$  is weakly closed in  $\overline{H}$  (about  $\overline{G}$ ). Since  $N_{\overline{G}}(\overline{H}) = N_{G/K}(HK/K) = N_G(H)K/K = \overline{N_G(H)}$ . By assumption, we obtain that  $N_{\overline{G}}(\overline{H})$  is  $\pi$ -nilpotent. So, by induction on |G|, we get that  $\overline{G} = G/K$  is  $\pi$ -nilpotent. Therefore G is  $\pi$ -nilpotent.

(2)  $N_G(Z(H)) \le G$ 

Let  $G_1 = N_G(Z(H))$ . Since  $N_G(H) \le N_G(Z(H))$ . We can conclude that  $N_{G_1}(H) = N_G(H)$ . By assumption, we obtain that  $N_{G_1}(H)$  is  $\pi$ -nilpotent. Since  $H \le G_1$ . The assumption in Theorem 3.2 implies that the upper central series of H is also weakly closed in H (about  $G_1$ ). That is that  $G_1$  satisfies the conditions of Theorem 3.2. By induction on |G|,  $G_1 = N_G(Z(H))$  is  $\pi$ -nilpotent. By Lemma 2.3, we get that G is  $\pi$ -nilpotent.

**Theorem 3.4** Let G be a  $\pi$ -soluble group. Suppose that H is a Hall  $\pi$ -subgroup of G and H is a cyclic group. If  $H \leq G'$ . Then G' is a  $\pi$ -nilpotent group.

**Proof** If  $O_{\pi'}$  (G)=1. Since G is a  $\pi$ -soluble group. By [8, Theorem 6.12], we have that  $C_G (O_{\pi}(G)) \le O_{\pi}$ (G). Since H is a Hall  $\pi$ -subgroup of G. Hence  $O_{\pi}(G) \le H$ . It follows that  $H \le C_G (O_{\pi}(G)) \le O_{\pi}(G)$ . This implies that  $H = O_{\pi}(G)$ . Applying N/C theorem to  $O_{\pi}(G)$ , we conclude that  $G/C_G (O_{\pi}(G)) \le Aut(H)$ . Since H is a cyclic group . We obtain that  $G/C_G (O_{\pi}(G))$  is an abelian group. It yield that  $G' \le C_G (O_{\pi}(G)) = H$ . By the assumption that  $H \le G'$ . We get that G' = H. Therefore G' is a  $\pi$ -nilpotent group.

If  $O_{\pi'}(G) \neq 1$ . Then we consider  $G/O_{\pi'}(G)$ . It is easy to show that  $HO_{\pi'}(G)/O_{\pi'}(G)$  is a Hall  $\pi$ -subgroup of  $G/O_{\pi'}(G)$  and  $HO_{\pi'}(G)/O_{\pi'}(G) \cong H/H \cap O_{\pi'}(G)$  is a cyclic group. It is easy to prove that  $G/O_{\pi'}(G)$ satisfies the hypothesis of the theorem. By induction on |G|, we get that  $G'O_{\pi'}(G)/O_{\pi'}(G)$  is a  $\pi$ -nilpotent group. Assume that  $N/O_{\pi'}(G)$  is a normal  $\pi$ -complement of  $G'O_{\pi'}(G)/O_{\pi'}(G)$ . Then we have that  $G'O_{\pi'}(G)=HN$ . Hence  $G'=G'\cap HN=H(N\cap G')$ . Since  $N\cap G'$  is a normal  $\pi$ -complement of G'. It follows that G' is a  $\pi$ -nilpotent group.

**Theorem 3.5** Let G be a soluble group and let M ⊲ N ⊲ G. Suppose that N is a л-nilpotent Hall-subgroup of

G and N ∩  $\Phi_{\pi}$ (G) is a nilpotent group. If N/ M(N ∩  $\Phi_{\pi'}$  (G)) is a *π*-nilpotent group, then N/M is also a *π*-nilpotent group.

**Proof** Let L= M(N  $\cap \Phi_{\pi'}$  (G)). Since N/M is a  $\pi$ -nilpotent group. It follows that N/L is  $\pi'$ -closed. By lemma 2.5, we have that N/M is  $\pi'$ -closed. It implies that N $^{\pi'}$  M/M  $\triangleleft$  N/M. Picking arbitrarily q | |N/N $^{\pi'}$  M|. Then we have that q $\in \pi$ . Let  $\pi_1 = \pi' \cup \{q\}$ . Since N/N $^{\pi'}$  L  $\cong \frac{N/L}{N_{\pi'}L/L}$  is a nilpotent group. It yield

that N/ N<sup> $\pi'$ </sup> L is  $\pi_1$ -closed. Since N<sup> $\pi'$ </sup> M  $\triangleleft$  N  $\triangleleft$  G. By lemma 2.5, we have that N/ N<sup> $\pi'$ </sup> M is  $\pi_1$ -closed. It

implies that the Sylow q-subgroup of N/ N<sup> $\pi'$ </sup> M is normal in N/ N<sup> $\pi'$ </sup> M, By the arbitrariness of q, we obtain that N/ N<sup> $\pi'$ </sup> M  $\cong \frac{N/M}{N_{\pi'}M/M}$  is a nilpotent group., Therefore N/M is a  $\pi$ -nilpotent group.

#### References

- [1] Xu, M. Y. (1999). Introduction to the Theory of Finite Groups. Science Press, Beijing.
- [2] Robinson, D. J. S. (1982). A Course in the Theory of Groups. Springer-Verlag, New York,
- [3] Yang, Z. X. (1993). On л-nilpotent group. Journal of Huang Huai, 9(3), 41-43.
- [4] Zhang, Y.-Z., & Wang, C. Y. (1992). On л-nilpotent group. *Journal of Shanxi University*, 15(1), 15-17.
- [5] Srinivasan, S. (1987). Finite *p*'-nilpotent groups. *Internat. J. Math*, *10*(*1*),135-146.
- [6] Wang, Y. (1992). A class of Frattini-like subgroups of a finite group. Pure Appl. Algebra, 78,101-108.
- [7] Weinstein, M. (1982). Between Nilpotent and Solvable. Polygonal Publishing House, NJ.
- [8] Gorenstein, D. (1980). *Finite Groups*. Chelsea Publishing House, New York.



**Rongge Yu** was born on July 29, 1965 in Dongguang, Hebei, China. She obtained the  $MS_c$  (mathematics) from Qufu Normal University, Qufu in 1997. She is an associate professor in mathematics.

She had published papers such as: "The properties of  $\pi'$ -fitting subgroup of a group G", Journal of Cangzhou Normal University, vol. 26, no. 4, Dec. 2010. "On factorizable groups" Journal of Cangzhou Normal University, vol. 27, no. 1, March 2011. "Several

results about  $\pi$ -nilpotent groups", *Advanced Science Letters*. *APRIL 2013*. Her research area is groups theory and coding theory.